



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

शनिवार, 25 अगस्त, 2018 / 03 भाद्रपद, 1940

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 2nd April, 2018

No. 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2018/Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Noor Mohd. s/o Gulam Rasool, r/o Village Kudtha, P. O. Sahoo, Tehsil & District Chamba, H. P. and the General Manager, M/s Sahoo Hydro Power Project, Village

Kurtha, P. O. Sahoo, Tehsil & District Chamba, H. P. on the issue of alleged termination of his services during October, 2015 (as alleged by the workman).

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section 5 of section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Noor Mohd. s/o Gulam Rasool, r/o Village Kudtha, P. O. Sahoo, Tehsil & District Chamba, H. P. during October, 2015 (as alleged by the workman) by the General Manager, M/s Sahoo Hydro Power Project, Village Kurtha, P. O. Sahoo, Tehsil & District Chamba, H. P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 16th May, 2018

No. 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2018/Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Parveja Begum d/o Shri Lukman, r/o Village Tarwai, P. O. Khush Nagari, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H. P. and the Employer/General Manager, M/s Ginni Global Private Limited Balsio Village, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H. P. on the issue of alleged termination of her services *w.e.f.* 16-07-2016 (as alleged by the workman).

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section 5 of section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Smt. Parveja Begum d/o Shri Lukman, r/o Village Tarwai, P. O. Khush Nagari, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H. P. *w.e.f.* 16-07-2016 (as alleged by the workman) by the Employer/General Manager, M/s Ginni Global Private Limited Balsio Village, Tehsil Churah, District Chamba, H. P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

Sd/-
*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 3rd April, 2018

No. 11-2/86(Lab)ID/2018/Bilaspur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Raj Pal s/o Shri Sant Ram, r/o V.P.O. Ghandir Kolka, Tehsil Jhanduta, District Bilaspur, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Bilaspur Forest Division, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination of his services during February, 2014 (as alleged by the workman).

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section 5 of section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub section 1 of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of services of Shri Raj Pal s/o Shri Sant Ram, r/o V.P.O. Ghandir Kolka, Tehsil Jhanduta, District Bilaspur, H.P. during February, 2014 (as alleged by the workman) by the Divisional Forest Officer, Bilaspur Forest Division, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 3rd April, 2018*

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2018/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Rajender Kumar s/o Shri Bhup Singh, r/o Village & P.O. Badhu, District Mandi, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. on the issue of alleged time to time termination of his daily wages services during August, 2000 to August, 2016 (as alleged by the workman *vide* demand notice dated 26-03-2017).

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section 5 of section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under section 7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether time to time termination of daily wages services of the claimant Shri Rajender Kumar s/o Shri Bhup Singh, r/o Village & P.O. Badhu, District Mandi, H.P. during August, 2000 to August, 2016 (as alleged by the workman *vide* demand notice dated 26-03-2017) by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 16th July, 2018*

No. CWP 2765/2017.—Whereas Shri Ram Nath s/o Late Shri Dharam Chand, r/o Village Shour, P.O. Purthi, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 20-10-2015 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Divisional Manager, H.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. with a copy to the Managing Director H.P. H.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited Shimla-9, H.P. The Labour

Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba Zone, Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, thereafter he has sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba was considered and examined and undersigned come to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 10 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute *vide* order dated 01-06-2017;

And whereas Shri Ram Nath s/o Late Shri Dharam Chand agitated the above order dated 01-06-2017 of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh *vide* CWP No. 2765/2017. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on dated 13-12-2017 and directed the petitioner to place additional material, if any, in his support and undersigned to take a decision within a period of two months. The judgment passed by the Hon'ble Court is reproduced as below;

“Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the authority below has not considered the petitioner’s representation highlighting the inaction on the part of the authority in not giving similar treatment to the petitioner as stands accorded in favour of similarly situated persons, particulars whereof are mentioned in the representation.

2. No other point is urged.
3. As such, as orally prayed for, leaving all questions of law open, we dispose of the writ petition in the following terms:
 - (a) Authority below shall consider this aspect of the matter and take a decision within a period of two months.
 - (b) Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to place additional material, if any, in his support.
 - (c) Liberty of hearing to all concerned prior to the passing of the order.
 - (d) Liberty to the petitioner to agitate the issue on the same and subsequent cause of action.
 - (e) Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioners, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

With these directions, the petition stands disposed of, so also pending application (s), if any.”

Whereas, in compliance to above orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shri Ram Nath, applicant submitted a representation dated 02-01-2018 before the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh. In pursuant to the direction of the Hon'ble High Court and as directed by the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh, undersigned called upon both parties to the dispute to appear before me on 22-01-2018, 28-02-2018, 22-03-2018, 25-04-2018 & 06-06-2018 and to bring additional material if any, in support of their claim.

Whereas, in the representation Shri Ram Nath stated that **he was initially engaged as part time worker from year, 1990 and thereafter his services were converted into daily wages helper from year, 2001 and worked upto October, 2005.** On dated 25-10-2005 one complaint/ FIR No. 14/2005 was lodged by the employer which was registered as Criminal Case No. 258-I/09 against applicant and Shri Pawan Kumar under Section 409 and 120-B of IPC in Police Station Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. regarding embezzlement in whole sale centres. Thereafter his services were terminated orally by the employer during the month of October, 2005. The applicant approached the Hon'ble Administrative Tribunal for his illegal termination from services which was transferred to Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh and registered as CWP (T) No. 14478/2008 which was disposed off on 29-06-2011 by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. The Hon'ble Court has passed following orders;

“Since the petitioner has approached this Court with a prayer for writ of mandamus, it is for the petitioner to first approach the first respondent, in which case the matter will be duly considered by the first respondent in accordance with law within three months.

2. With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of, so also the pending application(s) if any”.

Thereafter applicant approached the employer *vide* letter dated 17-10-2011 regarding reengagement of services and the department orally assured that his case will be considered after the final outcome of the criminal case registered as Criminal Case No. 258-I/09 against the applicant and others.

And whereas, the Criminal Case No. 258-I/09 was listed before the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate Chamba, H.P. for final hearing on 25-08-2015 when the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate has passed the detailed judgment and acquitted the applicant from the offences under Sections 409 IPC read with Section 120-B IPC. The operative part is reproduced as follows;

“26. In view of my findings on the aforesaid point, accused persons are acquitted of offence punishable under Sections 409 IPC read with Section 120-B IPC. Their bail bonds stand discharged. File, after due completion be consigned to the records.”

Thereafter, Shri Ram Nath again approached the employer regarding his re-engagement *vide* representation dated 20-10-2015 but no action was taken on his representation by the employer. Simultaneously he has filed his demand notice dated 20-10-2015 before the Conciliation Officer-cum-Labour Officer Chamba and the same was declined for reference *vide* order dated 01-06-2017 by the Appropriate Authority and thereafter Shri Ram Nath had filed CWP No. 2765/2017 before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh and the same has been decided by the Hon'ble High Court on 13-12-2017.

And whereas, during the proceedings the Divisional Manager, H.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. (A.R.) of the Managing Director, H.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited Shimla-9, H.P. filed the reply to the representation dated 02-01-2018 of the applicant Shri Ram Nath and stated that he had worked with the employer as part time worker during year, 1990 and thereafter on daily wages basis from 01-03-2001 and after 29-09-2005 he remained absent from duty at his own will. It has been further submitted that during physical verification of godown as on 30-09-2005 an FIR has been lodged against applicant Shri Ram Nath and Shri Pawan Kumar (Incharge) due to deficiency found in stock. But they were acquitted of offences by the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate Chamba, District Chamba *vide* order dated 25-08-2015. Shri Ram Nath had made representation dated 20-10-2015 before the employer

and had simultaneously filed his demand notice dated 20-10-2015 before the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba Zone, Chamba under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;

In view of above facts and circumstances of the case, after affording ample opportunities to the both parties of being heard, and adduced additional material by the applicant alongwith the facts in support of his case, the undersigned come to the conclusion that the applicant has kept his dispute alive and justified his contention of delay by adducing additional documentary evidence/material in support of his claim. The representation of the applicant Shri Ram Nath was considered and undersigned has formed an opinion and decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal. Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government vested in me *vide* Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No. Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Ram Nath s/o Late Shri Dharam Chand, r/o Village Shour, P.O. Purthi, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. during October, 2005 by (i) the Managing Director H.P. H.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited Shimla-9, H.P. and (ii) the Divisional Manager, H.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

विधि विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला—2, 23 अगस्त, 2018

संख्या एल0एल0आर0-ई(9)-12 / 2014-लेज.—श्री संतोष कुमार, अधिवक्ता, कांगड़ा ने उप-मण्डल बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा की सीमाओं के भीतर, नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्ति के लिए नोटरी अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 53) और उसके अन्तर्गत नोटरी नियम, 1956 के अधीन आवेदन किया है और इस सम्बन्ध में अधिनियम और नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित सभी औपचारिकताएं पूरी कर ली हैं।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, उक्त नियमों के नियम 7क के उप-नियम (2) के अन्तर्गत गठित साक्षातकार बोर्ड की सिफारिश पर उक्त नियमों के नियम 8 के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, श्री संतोष कुमार, अधिवक्ता को उप-मण्डल बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा की सीमाओं के भीतर तुरन्त प्रभाव से पब्लिक नोटरी नियुक्त करते हैं तथा यह भी निदेश देते हैं कि इनका नाम सरकार द्वारा इस निमित बनाए गए रजिस्टर में दर्ज कर लिया जाए।

आदेश द्वारा,

यशवंत सिंह चोगल,
प्रधान सचिव (विधि)।

[Authoritative English text of this Department Notification No. LLR-E(9)12/2014-Legn., dated 23-08-2018 as required under Article 348(3) of the Constitution of India].

LAW DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 23rd August, 2018

No. LLR-E(9)-12/2014-Legn.—WHEREAS, Shri Santosh Kumar, Advocate, Kangra has applied for appointment as Public Notary under the Notaries Act, 1952 (53 of 1952) and the Notaries Rules, 1956 made thereunder, within the territorial limits of Sub-Division Baijnath of District Kangra;

AND WHEREAS, all the formalities required under the said Act and Rules have been completed.

NOW, therefore, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, on the recommendations of the Interview Board, constituted under sub-rule (2) of rule 7A of the said rules, and in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the said Act, read with rule 8 of the said rules, is pleased to appoint Shri Santosh Kumar, Advocate as Public Notary within the limits of Sub-Division Baijnath of District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh with immediate effect with the direction that his name may be entered in the Register of Notaries maintained by the Government.

By order,
YASHWANT SINGH CHOGAL,
LR-cum-Pr. Secretary (Law).

सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला—2, 23 अगस्त, 2018

संख्या आई०पी०एच०—बी(एच)१—२४ / २०१८—कांगड़ा.—यतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः फिन्ना सिंह, मध्यम सिंचाई परियोजना, तहसील नूरपुर, जिला कांगड़ा के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को, जो इससे सम्बन्धित हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन, पुनर्वास और पुनर्व्यवस्थापन में उचित प्रतिकर और पारदर्शिता अधिकार अधिनियम, 2013 (2013 का 30) की धारा—11 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए राज्यपाल हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने और सर्वेक्षण करने तथा उप धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित अथवा अनुमतः अन्य सभी कार्यों को करने के लिए सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं।

4. कोई भी हितबद्ध व्यक्ति जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो, तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस दिन की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में कलक्टर कांगड़ा के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है।

विस्तृत विवरणी

जिला	तहसील	गांव	खसरा न०	क्षेत्र हैक्टर में
कांगड़ा	नूरपुर	लदोड़ी खास	131/1	0-11-55
			215/1	0-00-90
			253/1	0-02-31
			260/1	0-03-15
			557	0-03-84
			261/1	0-01-97
			558/1	0-00-98
			566/1	0-01-39
			565/1	0-00-24
			578/1	0-01-65
			596/1	0-00-45
			594/1	0-00-75
			595/1	0-01-41
			612/1	0-00-30
			613/1	0-00-18
			616/1	0-02-31
			617/1	0-01-74
			618/1	0-01-87
			1869/1	0-01-15
			1861/1	0-00-60
			1864/1	0-04-00
			1888/1	0-02-20
			1902/1	0-01-40
			1903/1	0-00-25
			1901/1	0-00-35
			1905/1	0-02-88
			1906/1	0-00-25
			1907/1	0-00-75
			1908/1	0-05-30
			2005/1	0-00-66
			2198/2137/1	0-00-90
			2197/2137/1	0-00-55
			2148/1	0-03-93
			2149/1	0-01-21
			1732/1	0-01-84
			1709/1	0-00-44
			1701/1	0-02-71
			1702/1	0-01-72
			1713/1	0-05-41
			1714	0-00-11

		<u>1711</u>	<u>0-00-66</u>
	कित्ता	41	0-75-72
	सुड्डियाल	631/1	0-01-72
		633/1	0-01-60
		673/1	0-04-14
		674/1	0-00-52
		675/1	0-02-26
		2101/702/1	0-01-60
		701/1	0-03-45
		708/1	0-00-77
		2161/728/2/1	0-03-06
		2162/728/1	0-01-73
		725/1	0-00-99
		724/1	0-00-18
		723/1	0-01-64
		826/1	0-00-80
		791/1	0-01-92
		820/1	0-02-92
		821/1	0-00-27
		846/1	0-01-03
		1745/1	0-02-00
		1748/1	0-00-52
		1727/1	0-01-04
		1724/1	0-02-36
		1789/1	0-00-04
		1838/1	0-00-54
		2117/1955/1	0-03-55
		1961/1	0-01-85
		2121/1964/1	0-01-55
		2024/1	0-01-12
		2036/1	0-00-31
		2035/1	0-00-48
		2034/1	0-02-94
		2059/1	0-01-05
		2058/1	0-01-48
		<u>704/1</u>	<u>0-00-52</u>
	कित्ता	34	0-51-95
	चौकी मोजा	1276/1	0-02-44
	मिलख	1277/1	0-02-05
		1274/1	0-00-12
		1272/1	0-00-22
		1273/1	0-02-12
		1384/1	0-00-28
		1381/1	0-02-10
		1383/1	0-04-05
		1428/1	0-01-08

		1429/1	0-00-90
		1465/1	0-05-44
		1466/1	0-10-49
		1481/1	0-02-83
		1483/1	0-04-72
		1484/1	0-01-60
		1486/1	0-00-68
		1487/1	0-00-60
		1488/1	0-03-92
		1500/1	0-01-42
		1496/1	0-07-06
		1616/1	0-00-84
		<u>1617/1</u>	<u>0-08-10</u>
	कित्ता	22	0-63-06
	पलाहड़ी	118/1	0-00-46
		116/1	0-03-25
		112/1	0-00-13
		118/1	0-00-26
		113/1	0-02-66
		1663/139/1	0-00-90
		1664/139/1	0-01-23
		1665/139/1	0-01-22
		152/1	0-00-39
		153/1	0-00-42
		1547/159/1	0-00-67
		1548/159/1	0-01-00
		160/1	0-00-80
		161/1	0-00-46
		162/1	0-00-52
		151/1	0-00-24
		1673/163/1	0-01-75
		1675/163/1	0-01-30
		1676/163/1	0-02-97
		618/1	0-00-37
		571/1	0-00-84
		619/1	0-00-06
		570/1	0-00-37
		617/1	0-01-57
		626/1	0-02-56
		643/1	0-00-76
		642/1	0-00-34
		641/1	0-01-57
		1568/640/1	0-01-44
		645/1	0-00-99
		652/1	0-02-28
		652/2	0-02-76

			1570/651/1	0-00-82
			1571/651/1	0-00-44
			1174/1	0-01-30
			1178/1	0-04-32
			1544/1179/1	0-03-11
			1180/1	0-02-25
			1182/1	0-04-98
			1183/1	0-01-17
			1185/1	0-03-25
			1196/1	0-05-14
			1198/1	0-01-59
			1204/1	0-01-24
			1205/1	0-00-80
			<u>1207/1</u>	<u>0-00-89</u>
		कित्ता	46	0-67-74

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित / –
सचिव (सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य)।

**In the Court of Deepti Mandhotra, HPAS, Sub-Divisional Magistrate Chamba,
District Chamba (H. P.)**

Venkat Anand s/o Shri Arun Anand, Mohalla Hatnala, Chamba Town, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P., aged 32 years (Bridegroom/Husband) .

and

Rohini Sharma d/o Sh. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Mohalla Drobhi, Chamba Town, Tehsil & Distt. Chamba, H.P., aged 25 years (Bride/Wife) . . . Applicants.

Versus

1. The General Public
2. The registrar of marriages Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Subject.—Registration of Marriage under Section 8(4) of the H.P. Registration of Marriage Act, 1996 (Act No. 21 of 1997).

Whereas, the above named applicants have made an application before me under Section 8(4) of the Himachal Pradesh Registration of Marriage Act, 1996 alongwith relevant records and affidavits stating therein that they have solemnized their marriage on dated 07-08-2016 at their place of residence with hindu rites and customs but due to some unavoidable circumstances, it could not be entered in the records of Municipal Council Chamba, Distt. Chamba, H.P. well in time.

And whereas, they have also stated that they were not aware of the laws for the registration of marriage with the registrar of marriage and now, therefore, necessary order for the registration of their marriage be passed, so that their marriage could be registered by the concerned authority.

Now, therefore, objections are invited from the general public that if, anyone has any objection regarding the registration of the marriage of the above named applicant, they should appear before the undersigned in my Court on or before 11-09-2018 at 02.00 P.M. either personally or through their authorised agent/ pleader.

In the event of their failure to do so, order shall be passed *ex parte* for the registration of marriage without affording any further opportunity of being heard.

Issued under my hand and seal of the Court on this 7th of August, 2018.

Seal.

Sd/-

(DEEPTI MANDHOTRA, HPAS)
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Chamba, District Chamba (H.P.).

**In the Court of Deepti Mandhotra, HPAS, Sub-Divisional Magistrate Chamba,
District Chamba (H. P.)**

Sunil Kumar s/o Shri Jai Ram, resident of Mohalla Mugla, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P., aged 26 years (Bridegroom/Husband).

and

Sagrika Mund d/o Sh. Rajinder Kumar, resident of Mohalla Minda (Mugla), Tehsil & Distt. Chamba, H.P., aged 21 years (Bride/Wife) . . . Applicants.

Versus

1. The General Public
2. The registrar of marriages Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Subject.—Registration of Marriage under Section 8(4) of the H.P. Registration of Marriage Act, 1996 (Act No. 21 of 1997).

Whereas, the above named applicants have made an application before me under Section 8(4) of the Himachal Pradesh Registration of Marriage Act, 1996 alongwith relevant records and affidavits stating therein that they have solemnized their marriage on dated 03-08-2016 at their place of residence with hindu rites and customs but due to some unavoidable circumstances, it could not be entered in the records of Municipal Council Chamba, Distt. Chamba, H.P. well in time.

And whereas, they have also stated that they were not aware of the laws for the registration of marriage with the registrar of marriage and now, therefore, necessary order for the registration of their marriage be passed, so that their marriage could be registered by the concerned authority.

Now, therefore, objections are invited from the general public that if, anyone has any objection regarding the registration of the marriage of the above named applicant, they should appear before the undersigned in my Court on or before 01-10-2018 at 02.00 P.M. either personally or through their authorised agent/pleader.

In the event of their failure to do so, order shall be passed *ex parte* for the registration of marriage without affording any further opportunity of being heard.

Issued under my hand and seal of the Court on this 26th July, 2018.

Seal.

Sd/-

(DEEPTI MANDHOTRA, HPAS)

*Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Chamba, District Chamba (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Deepti Mandhotra, HPAS, Sub-Divisional Magistrate Chamba,
District Chamba (H. P.)**

Ajay Kumar s/o Shri Parkash Chand, resident of Mohalla Tikaru, P.O. Hardaspura, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P., aged 26 years (Bridegroom/Husband).

and

Anjali Devi d/o Sh. Jaram Singh, resident of Mohalla Obri, P.O. Sultanpur, Tehsil & Distt. Chamba, H.P., aged 22 years (Bride/Wife) . . . *Applicants.*

Versus

1. The General Public
2. The registrar of marriages Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Subject.—Registration of Marriage under Section 8(4) of the H.P. Registration of Marriage Act, 1996 (Act No. 21 of 1997).

Whereas, the above named applicants have made an application before me under Section 8(4) of the Himachal Pradesh Registration of Marriage Act, 1996 alongwith relevant records and affidavits stating therein that they have solemnized their marriage on dated 20-04-2016 at their place of residence with hindu rites and customs but due to some unavoidable circumstances, it could not be entered in the records of Municipal Council Chamba, Distt. Chamba, H.P. well in time.

And whereas, they have also stated that they were not aware of the laws for the registration of marriage with the registrar of marriage and now, therefore, necessary order for the registration of their marriage be passed, so that their marriage could be registered by the concerned authority.

Now, therefore, objections are invited from the general public that if, anyone has any objection regarding the registration of the marriage of the above named applicant, they should appear before the undersigned in my Court on or before 01-09-2018 at 02.00 P.M. either personally or through their authorised agent/pleader.

In the event of their failure to do so, order shall be passed *ex-parte* for the registration of marriage without affording any further opportunity of being heard.

Issued under my hand and seal of the Court on this 26th July, 2018.

Seal.

Sd/-
(DEEPTI MANDHOTRA, HPAS)
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Chamba, District Chamba (H.P.).

ब अदालत नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, उप-तहसील मझीण, जिला कांगड़ा,
हिमाचल प्रदेश

केस नं 08 / NT / 2018

तारीख पेशी 13-09-2018

श्री अमर चन्द पुत्र श्री तिलक चन्द, निवासी महाल ढखर, डाकघर सियालकड़, उप-तहसील मझीण,
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

आम जनता

उनवान मुकद्दमा—जेरे धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के तहत मृत्यु तिथि पंजीकरण
नोटिस बनामः—आम जनता।

प्रार्थी श्री अमर चन्द पुत्र श्री तिलक चन्द, गांव ढखर, डाकघर सियालकड़, उप-तहसील मझीण, जिला
कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने स्वयं उपस्थित होकर प्रार्थना—पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि श्रीमती किसो देवी पत्नी स्व0
श्री तिलक चन्द, गांव ढखर, डाकघर सियालकड़, उप-तहसील मझीण, जिला कांगड़ा, हिं0 प्र0 की मृत्यु
दिनांक 23-09-2014 को हुई थी। का पंजीकरण कानून की जानकारी न हाने के कारण ग्राम पंचायत
सियालकड़ के अभिलेख में दर्ज न हो सकी। अतः मृत्यु तिथि का पंजीकरण ग्राम पंचायत सियालकड़ के
अभिलेख में किया जाये।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को सुनवाई हेतु बजरिया इश्तहार व मुश्त्री मुनादी द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि
इस सम्बन्ध में किसी प्रकार का उजर/एतराज हो तो दिनांक 13-09-2018 को असालतन व वकालतन पेश
होकर अपना एतराज दर्ज करवा सकता है। उसके उपरान्त कोई भी उजर/एतराज जेर समायत न होगा तथा
श्रीमती किसो देवी की मृत्यु तिथि 23-09-2014 का पंजीकरण जेरे धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण
अधिनियम, 1969 के तहत ग्राम पंचायत सियालकड़ के अभिलेख में दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिये
जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 06-08-2018 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
उप-तहसील मझीण, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

Sh. Prem Bahadur s/o Sh. Mangle Singh r/o Vill. Girikhola, P.O. Hanku, Tehsil Lamra, Distt. Jumla, State Karnail Nepal Presently residing at Vill. Subji Mohalla (Reckong Peo), Tehsil Kalpa, P.O. R/Peo, Distt. Kinnaur, H.P.

बनाम

1. आम जनता ग्राम कोठी, जिला किन्नौर, हिं0 प्र0
2. Local Registrar, Birth & Death G.P. Kothi
3. Registrar, Birth & Death-cum-CMO Kinnaur at R/Peo

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

श्री Prem Bahadur ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र मय शपथ पत्र पेश किया है कि उनके पुत्री एवं पुत्र कु0 हिमाली व राजेन्द्र का जन्म क्रमशः 01-03-2005 व 21-03-2007 को हुआ है जिसका इन्द्राज ग्राम पंचायत कोठी में नहीं किया गया है को अब दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी करने का अनुरोध किया है।

अतः इस इश्तहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत कोठी के रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारे कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह दिनांक 09-09-2018 को या इससे पूर्व अदालत में हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा सचिव ग्राम पंचायत कल्पा को उक्त जन्म पंजीकरण करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 08-08-2018 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी कर दिया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
कल्पा, जिला किन्नौर, हिं0 प्र0।

In the Court of Assistant Collector 1st Grade, Anni, District Kullu, Himachal Pradesh

Case No. 4/2018

Subject.—Partition preceding under section 123 of Himachal Pradesh Land Revenue Act, 1954.

Jodha Mal

.. *Applicant.*

Versus

General Public

.. *Respondent.*

Whereas Shri Jodha Mal s/o Shri Chain Ram, resident of Village Fadogi, Post Office Kandugad, Tehsil Anni, District Kullu, Himachal Pradesh has moved an application in the office of the undersigned for partition of his land comprised in Khata/Khatoni No. 377/474-475, 378/476, 380/478-479 land measuring 31-11-00 Bigha situated in Phati Khani, Kothi Jalori, Tehsil Anni, District Kullu, Himachal Pradesh. The applicant has furnished an affidavit stating that the proper address of respondent named Khub Ram son of Bargu, resident of Village Duved, Phati Khani, Khimu, Purnu, Khubu sons and Ramki *alias* Ram Kali daughter of Dharmu, resident of Village

Chowki, Phati Khani, Tehsil Anni, District Kullu is neither known to him nor to the residents of surrounding villages, consequently their services of summon could not be affected.

Hence, service of the above named persons is to be affected through this notice so as to ensure their presence before this court on 24-10-2018 at 10 A.M. In case no response is received, the *ex-parte* order will be passed against them.

Given under my seal and signature today on the day of August 06, 2018.

Seal.

Sd/-
Assistant Collector 1st Grade,
Anni, District Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Kuldeep Singh s/o Sh. Him Chand, Village Shila Daral, P.O. Rahla, Tehsil Aut, District Mandi, H. P.

2. Amandeep d/o Sh. Joginder Pal, r/o Ward No. 5Meet Markeet Manali, Tehsil Manali, District Kullu, H.P. (At present wife of Kuldeep Singh s/o Sh. Him Chand, Village Shila Daral, P.O. Rahla, Tehsil Aut, District Mandi, H. P. . . *Applicants.*

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Kuldeep Singh s/o Sh. Him Chand, Village Shila Daral, P.O. Rahla, Tehsil Aut, District Mandi, H. P. and Amandeep d/o Sh. Joginder Pal, r/o Ward No. 5Meet Markeet Manali, Tehsil Manali, District Kullu, H.P. (At present wife of Kuldeep Singh s/o Sh. Him Chand, Village Shila Daral, P.O. Rahla, Tehsil Aut, District Mandi, H. P. have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 05-05-2018 according to Hindu rites and customs at Chamunda Temple Ropar, H.P. and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 05-09-2018 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 6th day of August, 2018 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Khushahal Chauhan s/o Sh. Jodh Singh, V.P.O. Kotli, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H. P.
2. Sushmita d/o Sh. Hari Singh, Village Kasan, P.O. Saigalu, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P. (At present wife of Khushaha Chauhan s/o Sh. Jodh Singh, V.P.O. Kotli, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H. P. . . Applicannts.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Khushahal Chauhan s/o Sh. Jodh Singh, V.P.O. Kotli, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H. P. Sushmita d/o Sh. Hari Singh, Village Kasan, P.O. Saigalu, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P. (At present wife of Khushahal Chauhan s/o Sh. Jodh Singh, V.P.O. Kotli, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H. P. have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 23-11-2016 according to Hindu rites and customs at their respective houses District Mandi, H.P. and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 06-09-2018 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 7day of August, 2018 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Sh. Umesh Kumar s/o Sh. Aeb Raj, Village Sukar, P.O. Pandoh, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P.
2. Smt. Veena Kumari d/o Sh. Nauta Ram, Village Jagar, P.O Pandoh, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P. (At present wife of Sh. Umesh Kumar s/o Sh. Aeb Raj, Village Sukar, P.O. Pandoh, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P.) . . . *Applicants.*

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Sh. Umesh Kumar s/o Sh. Aeb Raj, Village Sukar, P.O. Pandoh, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P. and Smt. Veena Kumari d/o Sh. Nauta Ram, Village Jagar, P.O Pandoh, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P. (At present wife of Sh. Umesh Kumar s/o Sh. Aeb Raj, Village Sukar, P.O. Pandoh, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P.) have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 20-07-2018 according to Hindu rites and customs at their respective house, District Mandi, H. P. and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 06-09-2018 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 7th day of August, 2018 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Devi Ram s/o Sh. Bhagat Ram, Village Labana, P.O. Talyahar, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P.
2. Purnima Devi d/o Sh. Param Dev, V.P.O. Alathu, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P. (At present wife of Devi Ram s/o Sh. Bhagat Ram, Village Labana, P.O. Talyahar, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P.) . . . *Applicants.*

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Devi Ram s/o Sh. Bhagat Ram, Village Labana, P.O. Talyahar, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P. and Purnima Devi d/o Sh. Param Dev, V.P.O. Alathu, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H. P. (At present wife of Devi Ram s/o Sh. Bhagat Ram, Village Labana, P.O. Talyahar, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 05-02-2013 according to Hindu rites and customs at their respective house, District Mandi, H. P. and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 05-09-2018 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 6th day of August, 2018 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Pankaj Verma s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Verma, r/o Ward No. 13, Near Santoshi Mata Mandir Tiba Basti, Patran Patiala Punjab.

2. Hem Lata d/o Sh. Mohinder Singh, Village Dolangi, P.O. Balt, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H. P. (At present wife of Pankaj Verma s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Verma, r/o Ward No. 13, Near Santoshi Mata Mandir Tiba Basti, Patran Patiala Punjab) . . . *Applicants.*

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Pankaj Verma s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Verma, r/o Ward No. 13, Near Santoshi Mata Mandir Tiba Basti, Patran Patiala Punjab. and Hem Lata d/o Sh. Mohinder Singh, Village Dolangi, P.O. Balt, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H. P. (At present wife of Pankaj Verma s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Verma, r/o Ward No. 13, Near Santoshi Mata Mandir Tiba Basti, Patran Patiala Punjab). have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 31-07-2018 according to Hindu rites and customs at Tarna Temple Mandi, H.P. and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 05-09-2018 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 6th day of August, 2018 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Niraj Chandla (HPAS), Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Urban),
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh**

Kumari Passang Dolma daughter of Shri Lobsang Yamphel, resident of House No. 38, Tibetan Colony, Krishna Nagar, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P.Applicant.

Versus

General Public

.. Respondent.

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Kumari Passang Dolma daughter of Shri Lobsang Yamphel, resident of House No 38, Tibetan Colony, Krishna Nagar, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration of date of birth of herself namely PASSANG DOLMA DOB (06-07-1979) at above address in the record of Municipal Corporation Shimla.

Therefore, this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry as to date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court on or before 15-09-2018 failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date and will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 16th day of August, 2018.

Seal.

**NIRAJ CHANDLA (HPAS),
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban) District Shimla.**

**In the Court of Niraj Chandla (HPAS), Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Urban),
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh**

Smt. Shrimati Devi w/o Shri Babalu Urao, resident of New Cottage Hari Furniture, Comley Bank Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. ..*Applicant.*

Versus

General Public .. *Respondent.*

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Smt. Shrimati Devi w/o Shri Babalu Urao, resident of New Cottage Hari Furniture, Comley Bank Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration of date of birth of her son namely RITESH KUMAR DOB (18-11-2005) at above address in the record of Municipal Corporation Shimla.

Therefore, this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry as to date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court on or before 15-09-2018 failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date and will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 16st day of August, 2018.

Seal.

NIRAJ CHANDLA (HPAS),
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban) District Shimla.

**In the Court of Niraj Chandla (HPAS), Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Urban),
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh**

Smt. Shrimati Devi w/o Shri Babalu Urao, resident of New Cottage Hari Furniture, Comley Bank Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. ..*Applicant.*

Versus

General Public .. *Respondent.*

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Smt. Shrimati Devi w/o Shri Babalu Urao, resident of New Cottage Hari Furniture, Comley Bank Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration of date of birth of her daughter namely NEHA DOB (01-02-2008) at above address in the record of Municipal Corporation Shimla.

Therefore, this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry as to date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court on or before 15-09-2018 failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date and will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 16st day of August, 2018.

Seal.

NIRAJ CHANDLA (HPAS),
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban) District Shimla.

**In the Court of Niraj Chandla (HPAS), Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Urban),
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh**

Sh. Naresh Kumar s/o Late Shri Kishori Lal, resident of Madhu Sudan Building, Lower Kaithu Shimla-3, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P.Applicant.

Versus

General Public

.. Respondent.

Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Sh. Naresh Kumar s/o Late Shri Kishori Lal, resident of Madhu Sudan Building, Lower Kaithu, Shimla-3, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration of date of birth of his daughter PAYAL SOOD (DOB 14-11-1982) at above house in the record of Municipal Corporation Shimla.

Therefore, this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry as to date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court on or before 15-09-2018 failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date and will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 16st day of August, 2018.

Seal.

NIRAJ CHANDLA (HPAS),
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban) District Shimla.

ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, उप-तहसील धामी, जिला शिमला, हिंगे प्र०

मुकद्दमा संख्या : 05/2018

तारीख मरजुआ : 03-07-2018

श्री राकेश शर्मा पुत्र श्री हेत राम शर्मा, निवासी ग्राम बनौण (न्यावली) डाकघर जाबरी, उप-तहसील धामी, जिला शिमला, हिंगे प्र०।

राजस्व अभिलेख में नाम दर्कस्ती बारे प्रार्थना-पत्र।

इस मुकद्दमे का संक्षिप्त सार यह है कि उपरोक्त प्रार्थी श्री राकेश शर्मा पुत्र श्री हेत राम शर्मा, निवासी ग्राम बनौण (न्यावली) डाकघर जाबरी, उप-तहसील धामी, जिला शिमला, हिंदू प्र० ने प्रार्थना-पत्र इस आशय के साथ इस अदालत में प्रस्तुत किया है कि भू-राजस्व अभिलेख मौजा बनौण में प्रार्थी का नाम जिया लाल पुत्र श्री हेत राम दर्ज कागजात है जो कि गलत है जबकि शपथ-पत्र, आधार कार्ड, राशन कार्ड, शैक्षणिक प्रमाण-पत्र व व्यानात वाशिन्दगान देह के अनुसार प्रार्थी का नाम राकेश शर्मा पुत्र श्री हेत राम है जो कि सही है।

अतः इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा नाम दरूस्ती बारे कोई भी उजर व एतराज हो तो स्वयं या लिखित तौर पर दिनांक 23-09-2018 को अपराह्न 2.00 बजे हाजिर अदालत आकर अपना एतराज पेश करें, अन्यथा यह समझा जायेगा कि किसी भी व्यक्ति को इस मुकद्दमा नाम दरूस्ती बारे कोई उजर एतराज न है तथा आवेदन-पत्र को अन्तिम रूप दिया जायेगा व एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज तारीख 23-08-2018 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
उप-तहसील धामी, जिला शिमला, हिंदू प्र०।

समक्ष श्री आत्मा राम, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मिसल नं० 1/2018

तारीख संस्थापन 27-07-2018

श्री शुभम भारद्वाज पुत्र श्री ओम प्रकाश भारद्वाज, निवासी गांव मतेवग, डाकघर व तहसील कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला, हिंदू प्र०।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थना-पत्र परगना व तहसील कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला हिंदू प्र० बराए राजस्व रिकार्ड जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 बारा।

श्री शुभम भारद्वाज पुत्र श्री ओम प्रकाश भारद्वाज, निवासी गांव मतेवग, डाकघर व तहसील कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला, हिंदू प्र० का प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत कर निवेदन किया है कि उसका नाम पंचायत कुमारसैन के जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्टर में दर्ज न है। जबकि उनका जन्म दिनांक 20-04-1996 को हुआ है। अतः ग्राम पंचायत कुमारसैन में उनका नाम दर्ज करने के आदेश परित करने की कृपा करें।

अतः आम जनता व खास को इस इश्तहार के माध्यम से सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उक्त शुभम भारद्वाज का नाम, जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्टर ग्राम पंचायत में दर्ज करने बारे कोई आपत्ति/एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 24-09-2018 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे तक असालतन/वकालतन आजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा नाम दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिये जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-08-2018 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

आत्मा राम,
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
कुमारसैन, जिला शिमला (हिंदू प्र०)।

न्यायालय तहसीलदार विजय कुमार राय एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0)

दावा संख्या..... /Teh. Una/M. Reg./2018

श्री सतवीर सिंह पुत्र श्री लाल सिंह, वासी मोहला वैहली, वार्ड नं0 10 ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0)।

बनाम

जनता आम

दावा अन्तर्गत धारा 8(4) विवाह पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1996.

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान वाला में श्री सतवीर सिंह पुत्र श्री लाल सिंह, वासी मोहला वैहली, वार्ड नं0 10 ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0) ने इस न्यायालय में प्रार्थना—पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसका विवाह दिनांक 24—07—2015 को श्रीमती परमजीत कौर पुत्री श्री योग सिंह, गांव त्यार, डाकघर खुरवाई, तहसील बंगाणा, जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0) के साथ हुआ है। लेकिन अज्ञानता के कारण अपने विवाह का इन्द्राज स्थानीय रजिस्ट्रार विवाह पंजीकरण नगर परिषद् ऊना, जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0) में न करवा सका।

अतः इस सन्दर्भ में आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त वर्णित के विवाह का इन्द्राज रजिस्ट्रार विवाह स्थानीय पंजीकरण नगर परिषद् ऊना, जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0) में दर्ज करवाने बारे किसी को एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 08—09—2018 को इस न्यायालय में उपस्थित होकर प्रस्तुत कर सकता है, अन्यथा इसके बाद उक्त वर्णित विवाह के पंजीकरण हेतु आगामी कार्यावाही अमल में लाई जायेगी। इसके बाद कोई भी एतराज काबिले समायत न होगा।

आज दिनांक 09—08—2018 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व न्यायालय की मोहर द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

(विजय कुमार राय),
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0)।

न्यायालय तहसीलदार विजय कुमार राय एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0)

दावा संख्या..... /Teh. Una/M. Reg./2018

श्री जसवीर सिंह पुत्र श्री जसबिन्द्र सिंह, वासी मलूकपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0)

बनाम

जनता आम

दावा अन्तर्गत धारा 8(4) विवाह पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1996.

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उनवान वाला में श्री जसवीर सिंह पुत्र श्री जसबिन्द्र सिंह, वासी मलूकपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना (हिं0 प्र0) ने इस न्यायालय में प्रार्थना—पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसका विवाह दिनांक 01—02—2015 को श्रीमती हरदीप कौर पुत्री श्री चंचल सिंह, वासी सुरेवाल, तहसील नंगल, जिला रोपड़,

(पंजाब) के साथ हुआ है। लेकिन अज्ञानता के कारण अपने विवाह का इन्द्राज स्थानीय रजिस्ट्रार विवाह पंजीकरण ग्राम पंचायत मलूकपुर, तहसील ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) में न करवा सका।

अतः इस सन्दर्भ में आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त वर्णित के विवाह का इन्द्राज रजिस्ट्रार विवाह स्थानीय पंजीकरण ग्राम पंचायत मलूकपुर, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) में दर्ज करवाने बारे किसी को एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 06-09-2018 को इस न्यायालय में उपस्थित होकर प्रस्तुत कर सकता है, अन्यथा इसके बाद उक्त वर्णित विवाह के पंजीकरण हेतु आगामी कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जायेगी। इसके बाद कोई भी एतराज काबिले समायत न होगा।

आज दिनांक 06-08-2018 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व न्यायालय की मोहर द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

(विजय कुमार राय),
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)।